IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1142 OF 2006

Smt. Ramdulari Ramnath Gupta ... Petitioner

V/s.

Slum Rehabilitation Authority & Anr. ... Respondent

Ms. J.S. Kini with M.S. Kinjal Havia for the petitioner.
Mr. Manoj Bhatt for respondent No.2.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO,
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED : 21st April, 2006

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. The case of the petitioner is that she is entitled to be allotted premises under SRA scheme. Her name presently is not included in Annexure II. If the petitioner is entitled, the petitioner can apply to MHADA for consideration of her case. On such application or if her application is pending before MHADA, MHADA to consider case on merit and dispose of within a period of eight weeks.

3. If the case of the petitioner is accepted, her name to be included in the Annexure II. Respondent No. 1 to issue directions thereafter accordingly.

4. On behalf of respondent No. 2, the learned Counsel points out that the structure shown in the petition is admeasuring 480 sq.ft. whereas in the suit filed in the City Civil Court the petitioner has claimed that she is occupant of the structure admeasuring 14 ft X 11 ft. Authority is to consider the same.

5. A copy of this order will be served on MHADA. MHADA to dispose of the same in terms of what we have set out earlier.

6. With the above observations, the petition is disposed of.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]